Combining electrophysiological and hemodynamic measures of the auditory oddball
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Abstract
The neural mechanisms of deviancy and target detection were investigated by combining high density event-related potential (ERP) recordings with functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI). ERP and fMRI responses were recorded using the same paradigm and the same subjects. Unattended deviants elicited a mismatch negativity (MMN) in the ERP. In the fMRI data, activations of transverse/superior temporal gyri bilateral were found. Attended deviants generated an MMN followed by an N2/P3b complex. For this condition, fMRI activations in both superior temporal gyri and the neostriatum were found. These activations were taken as neuroanatomical constraints for the localization of equivalent current dipoles. Inverse solutions for dipole orientation provide evidence for significant activation close to Heschl’s gyri during deviancy processing in the 110–160-ms time interval (MMN), whereas target detection could be modeled by two dipoles in the superior temporal gyri between 320 and 380 ms.
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The ability to discriminate and identify events is important for successful interaction with the environment. A special case of discrimination is deviance detection in the auditory modality. Accordingly to Schröger (1997), this ability may be based on a detection system that does not rely on attention. An electrophysiological indicator of this preattentive change detection mechanism is the mismatch negativity (MMN), a frontally distributed negative deflection in the event-related brain potential (ERP), peaking between 100–200 ms after stimulus onset.

Most attempts to localize MMN sources are based on dipole analyses of electrical and magnetoencephalographic (MEG) recordings or scalp current density mappings. The main generators of the MMN were estimated in left and right auditory cortices (Alho et al., 1998; Scherg, Vajsar, & Picton, 1989). Additional contributions come from the lateral surface of the temporal lobes (Paavilainen, Alho, Reinkainen, Sams, & Näätänen, 1991) and prefrontal cortical areas (Giard, Perrin, Pernier, & Bouchet, 1990). This prefrontal activity might be generated by mechanisms initiating an involuntary switch of attention towards the stimulus change (Näätänen, 1992). In ERPs to deviant stimuli in an attended auditory stimulus sequence (targets), MMN is followed by a frontocentrally distributed N2b and a parietally maximal P3b (Näätänen, 1992). Different cortical sources of the P3b component have been suggested. Sources in the temporal cortex and in the medial temporal lobe were suggested based on electrical source analyses (Mecklinger & Ullsperger, 1995; Tarkka, Stokić, Basile, & Papanicolaou, 1995). In combination with functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), sources in the supramarginal gyrus, thalamus, and anterior cingulate gyrus have been found (Menon, Ford, Lim, Glover, & Pfefferbaum, 1997). Human lesion studies have suggested that the temporoparietal junction might be an important contributor to P3b potentials (Knight, Scabini, Woods, & Clayworth, 1989). Source localization performed on the basis of MEG data suggested contributions from subcortical sources (Mecklinger et al., 1998) and temporal cortex (Alho et al., 1998). Large P3b-like responses have also been recorded from other brain structures, including temporal cortex, hippocampus, and thalamus (Halgren et al., 1995). Thus, there is no complete picture regarding the sources of the P3b component because several tasks and various methods with different properties were used. Neuroimaging techniques like fMRI (McCarthy, Luby, Gore, & Goldman-Rakic, 1997) are capable of localizing brain structures underlying specific cognitive functions with a high spatial resolution, but to date the temporal resolution of these methods varies between 2 and 60 s. In contrast, ERPs provide a measure of brain activity related to cognitive functions with millisecond accuracy. The major goal of this study was to investigate the neural circuits underlying deviancy and target detection and the temporal characteristics of brain activation during these processes by combining the superior temporal resolution of ERPs with the high spatial resolution of fMRI.

Materials and Methods

Subjects
Sixteen paid right-handed healthy volunteers (6 men, 10 women; ages 20–28 years, median = 22 years) participated in the experiment.
Stimuli
Pure sine tones with frequencies of 600 Hz and 1000 Hz were used. All stimuli had a duration of 200 ms (including 10-ms rise and 40-ms fall time) and were presented at 75 dB/SPL via speakers (for the electroencephalogram [EEG]) or airconducting headphones (fMRI).

Procedure
Based on ERP studies that have shown that the amplitude and the latency of the MMN component is unaffected by the predictability of deviant stimuli (Scherg et al., 1989), we used a blocked design with alternating standard and deviant blocks. Standard blocks were comprised of 24 low tones, and deviant blocks were comprised of 16 low and 8 high (deviant) tones. The interstimulus interval from offset to onset was 550 ms.

There were two runs of 24 blocks each (12 standard and 12 deviant blocks), yielding a probability of deviants of .33 within a block and .165 across all 24 blocks. During the first run, subjects were required to watch a cartoon video (unattend condition). During the second run they had to fixate the center of the screen and silently count the high tones (attend condition). This task order was kept constant across subjects.

Following this standard oddball task, subjects were presented with two runs of a novelty oddball task (attend vs. unattend). Here, we focus on the standard oddball task. The results of the novelty oddball will be reported elsewhere (Opitz, Mecklinger, Friederici, & von Cramon, 1998).

EEG Recordings
EEG and electrooculogram (EOG) were recorded continuously from 120 electrode sites using an Electrocap. The signals were on-line bandpass filtered (0.1–70 Hz) and digitized at a rate of 250 Hz. Vertical and horizontal EOG were recorded from three electrode pairs placed on the infra- and supraorbital ridges of the left and the right eye and on the outer canthi of the two eyes. All leads were referenced to the nose tip. Electrode impedance was kept constant across subjects.

Following this standard oddball task, subjects were presented with two runs of a novelty oddball task (attend vs. unattend). Here, we focus on the standard oddball task. The results of the novelty oddball will be reported elsewhere (Opitz, Mecklinger, Friederici, & von Cramon, 1998).

Table 1. fMRI Activation Peaks Associated with Processing of Deviant Stimuli

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peak location</th>
<th>p value at peak</th>
<th>Size (mm³)</th>
<th>Cortical region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-45 -34 13</td>
<td>&lt;.05</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>Left transverse/temporal gyrus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 -31 11</td>
<td>&lt;.05</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>Right transverse/temporal gyrus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The x, y, and z coordinates are those of the Talairach and Tournoux (1988) system.
strength and the goodness of fit were determined over the period from 100 ms before to 600 ms after stimulus onset.

Results

Unattend Condition

Figure 1 shows the difference waveforms in both the unattend and the attend conditions. In the unattend condition, the deviants elicited a significant MMN component at 128 ms (mean amplitude at Fz = 1.8 μV, t = 3.05, p < .01) with a polarity reversal at mastoid leads (ML 1.7 μV, t = 5.01, p < .001). The corresponding statistical parametric maps, representing the blood oxygenation level-dependent response to infrequent deviant tones revealed two significant clusters of activity in the left and right transverse temporal gyri (TTG), with the size of this activation being substantially larger in the right TTG (cf. Table 1 for Talairach coordinates and significance). Precise locations of these activation foci superimposed on averaged Talairach-normalized high resolution structural MRIs are shown in Figure 2.

To compare electrophysiological and fMRI data, the scalp ERP distribution was modeled using dipole source locations in both TTG as derived from functional images. The obtained best-fitting orientations were superimposed on the fMRI (Figure 2). The orientations were almost perpendicular to the gray matter. The goodness of fit and the time course of the obtained dipole solution are shown in Figure 3. Between 100 and 140 ms, the goodness of fit exceeded 0.95 but it was negligible at points outside this latency window. A similar time course with a peak latency around 120 ms

Figure 1. Grand average difference waveforms obtained for both conditions by subtracting the ERPs to standard tones from those to deviant tones. Solid line = attend condition; Dashed line = ignore condition. The waveforms are displayed for selected electrodes of the 10–20 system.

Figure 2. Brain areas that showed significant fMRI activation to deviant stimuli were superimposed on average structural MRI in Talairach space (averaged brain). Best-fitting dipoles are indicated as arrows. The arrow size is proportional to the activation strength within the fit interval (110–160 ms).
was observed for the activations in both hemispheres (cf. Figure 3). In correspondence to the size of the activation foci obtained in fMRI (cf. Table 1), the dipole in the right hemisphere showed a stronger activation than the left hemisphere dipole (49 nAm vs. 34 nAm at peak).

Attend Condition

Subjects committed less than 2% errors in counting the deviant tones in the attend condition. In this condition, targets evoked a frontally focused MMN (F = −2.2 μV, t = 3.56, p < .01) around 136 ms followed by a N2b/P3b complex (Figure 1). The MMN showed the typical polarity reversal at the left mastoid (ML 0.98 μV, t = 2.21, p < .05). Targets elicited a parietal maximal P3 component (P3b) with a peak latency of 360 ms at the Pz recording site.

Clusters of activation, related to target processing are displayed in Figure 4. Four significant clusters were obtained with peaks in the posterior part of the left and right superior temporal gyri (STG), adjacent to the supramarginal gyri and the left and right neostriatum. As shown in Table 2, we obtained a right/left asymmetry of activation size in the STG and a reversed laterality pattern in the neostriatum.

Figure 3. Goodness of fit (% explained variance) as a function of time (upper left panel) and time course (upper right panel) of the obtained dipole model (nAm) of the MMN component. Dipole source locations and orientations were fixed. Gray areas indicate the fit interval. The scalp potential maps for empirical data (left) and the dipole model (right) are shown in the lower part of the figure. TTG = transverse temporal gyrus.

Figure 4. Brain areas that showed significant fMRI activation to target stimuli were superimposed on an averaged brain: left and right superior temporal gyri (STG), left supramarginal gyrus (SMG), and neostriatum. Best-fitting dipoles are indicated as arrows. The arrow size is proportional to the activation strength within the fit interval (330–380 ms).
For modeling the ERP waveforms we first assumed generators at all four locations derived from the fMRI activation pattern. We obtained a stable solution only for dipoles located at both STG. Dipoles located at bilateral neostriatum showed an activation strength of more than 200 nAm unlikely to be explained by neuronal currents (Freeman et al., 1975) and were therefore excluded from the final dipole solution. With dipole source locations fixed in both STG, the best-fitting dipole orientation was determined (cf. Figure 4). The goodness of fit and the activation waveforms of the derived solution are shown in Figure 5. The goodness of fit exceeded 0.95 only within the fitting interval between 340 and 380 ms. The size of fMRI activation foci and the dipole strength again suggest a predominant activation in the right hemisphere.

**Discussion**

The present study provides electrophysiological and hemodynamic data on the localization of brain activity in an auditory discrimination task. The aim of this study was to combine fMRI and ERP measures to disentangle spatiotemporal characteristics of brain activation underlying deviancy and target detection.

Compared with standard tones, unattended deviant stimuli elicited a frontally distributed MMN component and gave rise to reliable fMRI signal increases in the transverse temporal gyri bilaterally and in the adjacent superior temporal gyri. Inverse source analyses suggested that these temporal regions are the most dominant contributor to the frontally distributed ERPs within

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peak location</th>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>$y$</th>
<th>$z$</th>
<th>$p$ value at peak</th>
<th>Size (mm$^3$)</th>
<th>Cortical region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>−41</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$&lt;.01$</td>
<td>1,477</td>
<td>Right superior temporal gyrus (posterior part)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−47</td>
<td>−40</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>$&lt;.01$</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>Left superior temporal gyrus (posterior part)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>$&lt;.01$</td>
<td>717</td>
<td>Right neostriatum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−19</td>
<td>−5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>$&lt;.001$</td>
<td>1,472</td>
<td>Left neostriatum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Brain Areas Significantly Activated During Target Processing**

**Figure 5.** Goodness of fit (% explained variance) as a function of time (upper left panel) and time course of dipole strength (nAm) (upper right panel) and scalp potential maps of the obtained dipole model of the P3b component. Dipole source locations and orientations were kept fixed. Gray areas indicate fit interval. The scalp potential maps of the fit interval for empirical data (left) and the dipole model (right) are shown. STG = superior temporal gyri; SMG = supramarginal gyrus.
the 100–160-ms time window and therefore could account for the generation of the scalp MMN. This suggestion is confirmed by converging results of several studies using different methods (Alho et al., 1998; Halgren et al., 1995; Scherg et al., 1989) showing MMN generators within or in the vicinity of the auditory cortex. However, with the large deviant–standard difference used in this experiment, the waveform in the 110–160-ms interval may also reflect differential refractoriness of the N1 generators of standards and deviants (Scherg et al., 1989). The results suggest that the transverse temporal gyri seem to be involved in automatic change detection processes reflected by the MMN component.

The finding of dominant right hemisphere activation is consistent with the notion of right hemisphere preponderant scalp distribution of the MMN (Niätäinen, 1992). The activated area in the right hemisphere may include multiple brain structures. Thus, the right frontal generator suggested by a recent study using scalp current density analyses (Giard et al., 1990) might be partially due to an additional generator located in the right temporal cortex and projecting toward right frontal scalp sites.

The results of the attend condition reveal that the processing of infrequent target stimuli elicited an MMN component followed by an N2b/P3b complex and bilateral fMRI activation foci in the posterior part of the superior temporal cortex and the neostriatum. Inverse source analyses suggest that only the bilateral activation of the posterior part of the superior temporal gyrus contributes to the scalp P3b. This finding is in agreement with intracranial recordings (Halgren et al., 1995) and multimodal neuroimaging techniques (Menon et al., 1997). Recent studies with psychiatric patients showed high correlation of P3 amplitude reduction with volume reductions of gray matter in the left superior temporal gyrus (McCarley, Shenton, O’Donnell, & Faux, 1993).

In addition to the superior temporal gyri, the neostriatum is activated during target detection. Recent studies using single cell recordings in monkeys showed that the majority of responsive neurons in the caudate nucleus and the putamen had activity in relation to stimuli that were dependent on the performance of a task (Rolls, Thorpe, & Maddison, 1983). Thus, at least in some task situations the neostriatum may be part of a neural circuit involved in stimulus–response association. However, further research is required for a more detailed picture of the role of the neostriatum in different task situations.

The present study demonstrates that combined analyses of fMRI and ERP provide a new opportunity for disentangling temporal aspects of neural activation in a distributed network underlying deviancy and target processing.
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